IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component respon-
sible for exit interview briefings of
staff and contract internal personnel.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 1   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A   ████████████   •   30 May 72

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Agency employees, Office of Personnel, and Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component
responsible for official foreign
travel briefings of staff and
contract internal personnel.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 2   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A   26 Oct 72

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        The Agency and its employees.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____   No X
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____   No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ███████████████

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 3   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A
███████   •   21 Oct 68

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes_X_   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
        The Agency and its employees.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes_X_ No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes_X_ No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No_X_
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X_
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No_X_ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X_
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component
responsible for personal foreign
travel briefings.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 4   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A   ██████████   •   13 April 66

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        The Agency and the employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes__ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 5   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

      Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component
responsible for making the Office
of Security decision concerning
the separation in absentia of an
Agency employee.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 6   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

    None. This is an administrative procedure to insure that
    Absentia separation employees return all Agency property and sign
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes____ No____Secrecy
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?   Agreements.

    OS and Office of Personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
  If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
  to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
  If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
  More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
  Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
  significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
  If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
  important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning an employee's intent to take outside employment while still an Agency employee.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 7   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A ██████████████   ████████████   5 Feb 70   25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

     Employees component

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ·   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes X   No____
  If so, how?
    By having the employees administrative component adhere to the
    intent of the regulation.

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
  to another OS component or to another Office? Yes X   No____
  If so, where and why?

    The employees administrative component who should determine
    if such employment will effect his performance.

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
  More properly? Yes X   No____   If so, which component and why?

    Same as above.

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
  Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
  significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X   No____
  If so, how?

    By rewriting the regualtion - indicating that approval is required
    only if there is security significance.
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
  important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning an employee's intent to engage in a non-Agency training program.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 8   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

                        25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

██████████████████████████   2 April 1964

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes_X__   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
      Employees component

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes_X__   No____.
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes____   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_X__ No___
    If so, how?

        By having the employees administrative component adhere to
        the intent of the regulation.
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_X__ No____
    If so, where and why?

        The employees administrative component. If the employee is
        taking too many courses it could interfere with his Agency
        job.
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes_X__ No____ If so, which component and why?

        As indicated above, the employee's administrative component has
        the vested interest in this type of activity. If there is no
        security significance, the employees component should approve the
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of request.
    Watergate? Yes____ No_X__ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_X__ No____
    If so, how?
        By rewriting the regulation - indicating approval is required
        only if there is security significance.
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____ No_X__ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to make public appearances.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 9   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A ███████████████████████   5 Feb 70

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        OS and Assistant to the Director

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
  If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
  to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
  If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
  More properly? Yes____   No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
  Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
  significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
  If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
  important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to present a manuscript to a publisher for publication.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 10   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A   ████████████████████████████████   5 Feb 70

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

          OS and Assistant to the Director

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component
responsible for making the Office
of Security decision relative to
an employee's intent to visit a
foreign embassy.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 11   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

                                                    1 April 61

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

       The Office of Security, , Cover and Commercial Staff, and
       the employee component, and employees.
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ____ No X
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to foreign social contacts.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 12   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

███████████████████   1 April 61

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

OS, CCS and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes____ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes____ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component
responsible for counseling Agency
employees relative to court
appearances.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 13   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

                        25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
                                                    2 April 64

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        CCS, OS and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to jury duty.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 14   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:
                        25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

█████████████████████████   2 April 64

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No ____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        CCS,OS,OGC and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No ____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No ____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ____ No X
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ____ No X
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes ____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes ____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ____ No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes ____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that counsels Agency employees relative to questions they may have to answer on applications (school, community organizations) concerning their employment.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 15   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
██████████████████████████   7 June 71

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
        CCS and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that
counsels Agency employees concerning
their Summaries of Agency Employment.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 16   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: 25X1A   DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

████████████████████   ·   30 May 72

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Agency employees and Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that reviews all retirement actions and documents the security file relative to Agency decisions on each individual case.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 17   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

██████████   30 May 72

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

     Office of Personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that prepares responses to correspondence received by the Agency or the DCI relative to matters under purview of the Office of Security.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 18   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

      UNKNOWN

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes____   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

      O/DCI and other Agency components

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes_X_   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes_x_   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_x_   No____
   If so, how?   Index name of correspondent and provide brief
      description as to type of correspondence. Destroy actual
      correspondence rather than filing in SRD.

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No_X_
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____   No_X_   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No_X_   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_X_   No____
   If so, how?   Review correspondence for threat only.
      Index as noted above, and destroy.

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No_X_   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component
responsible for making the Office
of Security decision relative to
an employee's intent to join a
club or organization.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 19   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

                    25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
                                                   2 Mar 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
        OS and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ___ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____   No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X   No____
   If so, how?
        By having the employee submit requests for approval only
        for those organizations which they feel may be questionable.
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that counsels Agency employees relative to public media contacts.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 20   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

                          25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

███████████████████████████████   13 July 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes_X_   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

          Assistant to the Director

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes_X_   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes_X_   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ No_X_
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No_X_
   If so, where and why?
      The responsibility for this activity belongs to the Assistant
      to the DCI, not the Office of Security. EAB acts as a channel
      for such requests.
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____   No_X_   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No_X_   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No_X_
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No_X_   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision relative to an Agency employee's application for Federal Employee Compensation.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 21   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

                        25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

████████   18 Jan 73

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Agency employees   Cover and Commercial Staff

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision relative to bestowing an Honor or Merit Award on an employee.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 22   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
████████   10 Dec 73

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Honor and Merit Awards Board

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision relative to Agency employees hospitalization insurance applications.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 23   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: 25X1A   DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

███████████████████   · ·   22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        All Agency personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision concerning an Agency employee's intent to sponsor an alien.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 24   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

███████████████   •   5 Feb 70   ████████████████

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No ____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        OS and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No ____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No ____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ____ No X
  If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
  to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ____   No X
  If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
  More properly? Yes ____   No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
  Watergate? Yes ____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
  significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ____   No x
  If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
  important than this one? Yes ____   No X   If so, what?   °

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that ~~makes the Office of Security decision~~ ~~concerning the approval of suggestions~~ ~~from the Suggestion Award Committee.~~ ADVISES the Suggestion Award Committee relative to Security aspects of Committee activities.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 25   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

████████████   •   4 Feb 75

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

          Suggestion Awards Committee

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that assigns and processes covert site investigations.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 26   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

███████████   •   1 April 61

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        OL/Safehouse Branch

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
  If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
  to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
  If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
  More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
  Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
  significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
  If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
  important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for giving the Office of Security coordination on use of cover.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 27   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
████████████████████████████ •   22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes x   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

          Cover and Commercial Staff

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No x
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes____ No x   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 28   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

███████████████████████   •   22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        The Agency

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that
makes the Office of Security decision
relative to the approval and issuance
of CIA Investigator Credentials, True/
Alias.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 29   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:
                          25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

     Hq Manual Sect ███████   ·   22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

     Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____   No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

████████████████████████████

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 30   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: 25X1A   DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
████████████████████████   .   22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes_X_   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes_X_   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes_X_   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___   No_X_
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No_X_
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____   No_X_   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No_X_   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No_X_
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No__X_ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 31   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: 25X1A   DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

                                                    22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____   No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 32   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

███████   •   7 April 71

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes x   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        DCI/Security Staff

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ NoX
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component that makes the Office of Security decision relative to the certification and issuances of CIA Firearms Permit.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 33   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

██████████   7 April 71

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

    The Agency

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
  If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
  to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
  If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
  More properly? Yes____   No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
  Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
  significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
  If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
  important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 34   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: 25X1A   DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

                                                      22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Cover and Commercial Staff

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____   No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component
responsible for the processing of
OS personnel cover requirements.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 35   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

                          25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

██████████████   •   30 Nov 59

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Covert OS Personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1ponent
responsible for giving personnel
briefings on Office of Security cover.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 36   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
████████████████████   30 Nov 59

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Covert OS Personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____   No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No X If so, what?

IDENT**Approved For Release 2000/09/02** ████████████████

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 37   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: 25X1A   DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
████████████   1 Apr 67

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Operating components of the Agency.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No x
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

25X1C

████████████

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 38   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: 25X1A   DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
████████████   .   1 Apr 61

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Operating components of the Agency.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component
                                          responsible for the procurement of
                                          federal personnel records.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 39   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

                              25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

            ███████████████████   •   22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

          Office of Personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ██████████████████████

25X1A

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 40   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

25X1A   DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

████████████   •   1 Apr 61

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
      Operating components of the Agency.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ███████████████████████

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 41   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

████████████████████████   22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes _X_   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

        Operating components of the Agency

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes _X_   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes _X_ No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No _X_
  If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
  to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No _X_
  If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
  More properly? Yes____   No _X_   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
  Watergate? Yes____   No _X_   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
  significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No _X_
  If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
  important than this one? Yes____   No _X_   If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 42   COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:
                              25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

                                              .   22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes__X__ No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
        Operating components of the Agency

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes__X__ No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes__X__ No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No_X_
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X_
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____ No_X_ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X_
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?